

**COMMON
SENSE
DESTROYS
FAITH IN THE THEORY OF
EVOLUTION**

Written in Non-Technical
Everyday Language

W. D. Cain



Table of Contents

Preface: The Status Quo.....	11
Chapter 1 The Missing Standard Demolishes Evolution	21
Chapter 2 Intimidation and Censorship.....	29
Chapter 3 A (Covert) Declaration of War—On You!	37
Chapter 4 The Fish Tank	43
Chapter 5 The Origins of the <i>Theory</i> of Evolution	47
Chapter 6 Probability of Evolution Occurring.....	57
Chapter 7 How an Event in A.D. 70 Demolishes “Higher Criticism” of the New Testament.....	67
Chapter 8 What We Don’t Know Doesn’t Have to Defeat Us	73
Chapter 9 Origin of the Laws of Physics	79
Chapter 10 Modern Science is Western Science.....	85
Chapter 11 The Watermelon Seed.....	91
Chapter 12 Footprints on the Moon	95
Chapter 13 What Explains the Widespread Belief in Evolution?	101
Chapter 14 Entropy	105
Chapter 15 Testing Truth Claims Correspondence and Coherence.....	111
Chapter 16 Absurd Things	115
Chapter 17 Millions of Fossils; No Transitional Forms.....	121
Chapter 18 They Want to Make Science Replace God	127
Chapter 19 Fine-Tuning and Multi-Verses.....	145

Chapter 20 Ecology and the Meaning of Life149

Chapter 21 Miraculous Aspects of the Calendar153

Chapter 22 Evolution’s Bitter Harvest159

PART TWO: THE GOD FACTOR

Chapter 23 The World Sees God as Adversary167

Chapter 24 Feeling Good About Myself173

Chapter 25 Really Good News! You Are Loved177

Chapter 26 The Rapid Spread of Christianity183

Chapter 27 Religion Versus God189

Chapter 28 Sometimes We Know More Than We Think!195

One Solitary Life.....201

Simon Greenleaf206

...just a thought to consider...

**It seems that the world and much of
the church has bought into the lie that
evolution is science. Add to that the fact
that we are not scientists and that
is very close to checkmate!**

The Missing Standard Demolishes Evolution

If you can know and prove that the evolutionists have no standard to justify assigning to, not God but to something else, then the pretense is obvious to all...and the edifice crumbles. If that happens, the believers are liberated from the gloom of the globally dominant false science that denies God.

We have all been conditioned, as far as evolution is concerned, to park our brains at the door and let someone else, someone we do not know, and have no reason to trust, do our thinking for us. However, the standard, the set of protocols, the criteria, the principles, the logic of “why” must, indeed be there. Anything else would be faith and not science!

If you assume that a standard exists, then you now must explain to yourself how come you don’t know about it. Further you must explain how it is that no one else knows it. If a standard did exist, wouldn’t you reasonably expect it to be prominent at

every level, from the lower grades through graduate school? And would appear on every Science exam and in every Science textbook. And yet it is not there. Why? There might possibly be an answer other than the obvious. However, the obvious, common sense, self-evident, practical answer is...*They don't have one!*

You recognize that a Goliath rules the world. It defies all logic that evolution is merely a sham. (That would require all the genius of “the father of lies”!)

Now we understand the necessity of having to resort to censorship and quarantine of alternate views. This explains the curt and rude dismissal of Creation Science, Intelligent Design, and the like, rather than a demonstration of the superiority of their own view. That would require a “standard for comparison.” Evolutionists don't allow a side-by-side comparison because they don't have the standard to justify assigning against God and for evolution. They can't say “see, here's why it makes sense.”

No justifying standard reveals that the foundation of evolution is not “settled science” as everyone supposes, but lies and deception. It is only, and no more than a facade.

The ancient but still remembered principle of Noblesse Oblige, implied the obligation of the ruling class to respect its subjects. The standing of the aristocrats was not challenged by the populace and, secure in their position, the rulers could afford to be polite. In the same way, truth has nothing to fear from challenges to the truth. If the evolutionists had a standard, protocols or criteria to defend their assigning against God, they could put other claims alongside evolution and show the world the superiority of their claims.

Having no standard, they have no other recourse than

to disparage, label negatively and derisively, and threaten to excommunicate from the rest of enlightened, civilized society. Such response is accurately characterized as “defensive”. Not having anything rational to defend their views with, explains their pugnacious relentless attacks on any and all challenges.

It’s not complicated, Evolutionists either have the goods or not. Every indication of the high-handed and authoritarian manner they have used so far would naturally follow in the brandishing of the conclusive, justifying standard of their system *if they had one*.

They haven’t done it because they don’t have it. This explains their use of an iron curtain of censorship and quarantine against anything that challenges evolution.

AND THE WALLS COME TUMBLING DOWN! It’s the catastrophic collapse of the foundation of evolution.

They have no justifying standard, and, therefore no science, only bias and censorship. And most obviously, intimidation. The “science” that was thought to be the sure foundation of evolution is entirely missing. The absence of a foundation predicts a sure and certain collapse.

What if, from the very inception, before the first investigation began, the intent and purpose was to find ways to rule against God?

We have supposed that the people who began the quest were neutral, objective, trustworthy and honest. We assumed that they were operating within the disciplines of applied science and that they were not trying to prove a predetermined agenda to do away with God. All this hoped-for neutrality collapses unless there are justifying standards to support the conclusion that evolution

and natural selection, and not God, are the proven explanation. Our giving the benefit of the doubt has been the basis of their fraudulent success.

If evolutionists have no justifying standard then they have no science against God.

Have they known this all along? Probably not the classroom teachers or the rest of the rank-and-file evolutionists, but the top five or ten percent very well may have. The resolve they have to believe in evolution in the absence of any justifying standards is not science but FAITH. And not just faith, but blind faith! Not evidence-based faith, but the absence of evidence. The audacity! The battle against God and His people based on sheer rebellion alone! Call it science and the world will believe.

A FORTRESS WITHOUT A FOUNDATION is a HOUSE OF CARDS!

Let's take a trip in our minds. It is in the lifetime of Darwin. His publication of *The Origins of Species* has rocked the world. We picture verification as scientists everywhere returning to their labs and have begun the rigorous process of re-evaluations of the data across the disciplines from Biology to Zoology. Tens of thousands of decisions will be made. Every decision will be decided against God and for crowning evolution as the new orthodoxy. (What are the odds?)

Let's look over the shoulder of the scientists, so to speak, and see what we can learn. The scientist is looking at an event, or process or outcome, or something else. He must make the decision. Is this the result of a God or something else? He checks and rechecks the data. Finally, the decision is made. He assigns it to Evolution. This is repeated across the spectrum of his area of

expertise. And finds against God! (Again, what are the odds?)

The same is true across the rest of the disciplines from Agronomy to Zoology. The verdict is unanimous against God! Again, the odds are astronomically against this total outcome? Everything can be understood and explained by the Theory of Evolution? Wait a minute, you say. When we were looking over his shoulder, when the very first decision was made, we had a question that we did not get to ask.

So, we ask it now. “Why did you assign it to Evolution and not to God?” The scientist pauses, reflects, and finally says, “It just seems like the better explanation.”

“Not what we are asking,” we exclaim, “but what is the standard, or criteria or protocol you are applying to justify the decision to assign to one and not to the other? Surely, you must have a standard. There has to be a justifying standard. If there is no standard then, it is only a matter of personal preference.

Evolutionists deny the true discipline science demands. Their conclusions are sophomoric prejudice. And if not science and proof, then we must view evolution as a guess, devoid of factual authentication—a fortress without a foundation!

Is there a standard? What would it look like? Can you even conceive of what a standard justifying the assigning to one and not the other would look like? Have you ever heard at any time reference to such a standard? Wouldn't it be well known and likely even named after its discoverer? (Dr. Doolittle's Law of Discovery?) Doesn't it seem like if the evolutionists had one, we would all know about it? Wouldn't it be in every test, and every textbook, on every exam, taught at every level? Wouldn't we all know it, and be constantly reminded of it, and all able to quote it

by heart?

Wouldn't the standard be made prominent and used authoritatively when the challenges of *Creation Science* and *Intelligent Design* and *Specified Complexity* and *Irreducible Complexity* are arrogantly and dismissively rejected? It's beginning to look like all they have is bluff and bluster, and it has been enough to win, in the eyes of the world!

The world and the church have meekly bowed to their pronouncements. Never suspecting for a minute, they don't have the science to back it up. We believe such was the case. But, without a standard to justify their rejection then you have, not science, but prejudice, bias, quarantine of opposing views and censorship! Wouldn't the results showing the superiority and vindication of evolution over the challengers be readily available everywhere on the Internet for all to see?

And since they haven't revealed the standard, isn't the most likely explanation that they don't have one? They haven't needed one. They have gotten away with it, until now. Will it continue? That is up to you!

The "promised freedom from God" is the reason they have gotten away with it. If the world could know, like you know, how much Jesus loves them, then that would make the difference. No longer would God be viewed as the caricature the devil has convinced them of!

You can make the charge that evolution is NOT science. It is fraud and presents itself only UNDER THE GUISE OF SCIENCE! And when evolution's foundation is known to be fraudulent, it collapses! There is nothing technical here. Everything is self-evident, commonsensical and lines up with your own personal

experience. Therefore, you personally can argue this with anyone. It is not technical or complex.

And if you can explain it to a third grader, can a third-grade awakening be far be far behind?